arXiv:math/0006023v1 [math.SG] 4 Jun 2000

Connections under Symplectic Reduction

by
Izu Vaisman

Dedicated to the memory of Prof. Gheorghe Vrănceanu

ABSTRACT. In this note, we give conditions which ensure the reduction of a symplectic connection in the process of a Marsden-Weinstein reduction and of the reduction of a presymplectic manifold.

Symplectic reduction is a technique which produces new symplectic manifolds from either symplectic manifolds with symmetries or presymplectic manifolds [2]. The aim of this note is to formulate conditions which ensure that a compatible connection on the initial manifold induces a compatible connection on the reduced manifold. Everything in this note is of the class C^∞.

For me, this subject is related with the name of Vrănceanu because my Ph.D. thesis [4] was on the subject of symplectic connections, and Vrănceanu was one of the referees.

1 Marsden-Weinstein Reduction

We refer the reader to [2] for the original Marsden-Weinstein reduction theorem. In this theorem, the basic configuration consists of: (i) a symplectic manifold M^{2n} with the symplectic form ω; (ii) a Hamiltonian action $\Phi : G \times M \to M$ of a Lie group G on M with an equivariant moment map $J : M \to \mathcal{G}^*$ (the dual space of the Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$ of G); (iii) the level set

---

[*] *2000 Mathematics Subject Classification* 53D20.

Key words and phrases: Symplectic Reduction. Symplectic Connection. Presymplectic Connection.

$C := J^{-1}(\xi)$ of a non critical value $\xi \in \mathcal{G}^*$. Then, if G_ξ is the isotropy subgroup of ξ for the coadjoint action of G on $\mathcal{G}^*$, the group G_ξ acts on C, and, if $N := C/G_\xi$ is a manifold, ω induces a symplectic structure ω' on N.

Let us assume that (M, ω) is also equipped with a *symplectic connection* ∇ i.e., a torsionless linear connection on M such that $\nabla\omega = 0$ (e.g., [5]). In order to formulate a corresponding reduction theorem, we need the following notions: a) if the action Φ preserves the connection ∇ we say that Φ is an *affine action* [1], b) a submanifold $C \subseteq M$ such that TC is preserved by ∇-parallel translations along paths in C is called a *self-parallel submanifold*. Then, we get

1.1 Theorem. *Let (M, ω) be a symplectic manifold with a symplectic connection ∇ and an affine Hamiltonian action Φ of the Lie group G which has the equivariant moment map J. Let $\xi \in \mathcal{G}^*$ be a non critical value of J such that $C := J^{-1}(\xi)$ is ∇-self-parallel, and such that the reduced symplectic manifold $(N = C/G_\xi, \omega')$ exists. Then ∇ induces a well defined symplectic connection ∇' on (N, ω').*

Proof. Since C is self-parallel, the values of $\nabla_X Y$ are tangent to C whenever $X, Y \in \Gamma TC$ (Γ denotes spaces of global cross sections of bundles), and ∇ may also be seen as a linear connection on C.

On the other hand, the connected components of the orbits of G_ξ in C define an isotropic foliation $\mathcal{I}$ such that $T\mathcal{I} = (TC)^{\perp_\omega} \cap TC$, and, since ∇ is a symplectic connection, the bundle $T\mathcal{I}$ is parallel with respect to ∇.

Now, we will use the connection ∇ to define an induced connection ∇' on N as follows. If $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} \in \Gamma TN$, we have $\mathcal{X} = \pi_* X$, $\mathcal{Y} = \pi_* Y$, where $\pi : C \to C/G_\xi$ is the natural projection and X, Y are G_ξ-invariant vector fields on C defined up to a term in $\Gamma T\mathcal{I}$. Accordingly, we shall take

$$\nabla'_{\mathcal{X}}\mathcal{Y} = \pi_*(\nabla_X Y), \tag{1.1}$$

and show that the result is well defined. The reasons for that are:

1) the result of (1.1) does not change if $Y \mapsto Y + Z$, $Z \in \Gamma T\mathcal{I}$, because $T\mathcal{I}$ is ∇-parallel;

2) the same is true if $X \mapsto X + Z$, $Z \in \Gamma T\mathcal{I}$, since

$$\nabla_Z Y = \nabla_Y Z + [Y, Z] \in \Gamma T\mathcal{I}; \tag{1.2}$$

(1.2) is justified by the fact that ∇ has no torsion and preserves $T\mathcal{I}$, and by the fact that, locally, $Z = \sum_i \varphi_i Z_i$ where $\varphi_i \in C^\infty(C)$ and $Z_i \in \Gamma T\mathcal{I}$

are infinitesimal actions of elements of the Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}_\xi$ on C, therefore, $[Y,Z]=\sum_i (Y\varphi_i)Z_i \in \Gamma T\mathcal{I}$ because of the invariance of Y;

3) in (1.1), the vector field $\nabla_X Y$ is projectable since the action Φ of G is affine, which means that, $\forall g \in G$, the transformation $\Phi_g(x) := \Phi(g,x)$ $(x \in M)$ satisfies the condition

$$\Phi_{g*}(\nabla_X Y) = \nabla_{\Phi_{g*}X}(\Phi_{g*}Y). \tag{1.3}$$

Q.e.d.

It would be interesting to have conditions which imply the fact that the level submanifold C of Theorem 1.1 is self-parallel. We can give one such condition which, unfortunately, is not simple to use. Namely, let us agree to say that the moment map J is *affine* if, whenever J is constant along a path γ in M, the differential J_* is constant along any ∇-horizontal lift $\tilde{\gamma}$ of γ to TM. It is easy to see that if J is an affine moment map, $C = J^{-1}(\xi)$ is self-parallel for all the non critical values $\xi \in \mathcal{G}^*$. Indeed, for $Y \in \Gamma TM$ with $Y/_C \in \Gamma TC$, and if $X_0 \in T_{x_0}C$ $(x_0 \in C)$, one has [1]

$$(\nabla_{X_0}Y)_{x_0} = \lim_{t\to 0}\frac{1}{t}[\tau_0^t(Y(x(t))) - Y(x_0)],$$

where $x(t)$ is a path in M such that $x(0) = x_0$, $\dot{x}\ (0) = X_0$, and $\tau_0^t : T_{x(t)}M \rightarrow T_{x_0}M$ is the ∇-parallel translation along $x(t)$. Accordingly, if $x(t)$ is in C then, because of the affine character of J, $(\nabla_{X_0}Y)_{x_0} \in T_{x_0}C$, and the submanifold C is self-parallel.

An example where Theorem 1.1 applies will be given at the end of the next section.

2 Cotangent Bundles

An important example of a Hamiltonian action as required in reduction theory is provided by the natural lift Φ^* of an action Φ of a Lie group G on a manifold P to the cotangent bundle T^*P. The latter is endowed with the canonical symplectic structure $\omega = -d\lambda$, where λ is the *Liouville* 1*-form*

$$\lambda_\alpha(X) =< \alpha, \pi_* X >, \qquad \alpha \in T^*P,\ X \in T_\alpha T^*P,\ \pi : T^*P \rightarrow P, \tag{2.1}$$

and Φ^* has the moment map $J : T^*P \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^*$ given by

$$< J(\alpha), A >=< \alpha, A_P(\pi(\alpha)) >, \tag{2.2}$$

where α is as in (2.1), $A \in \mathcal{G}$, the Lie algebra of G, and A_P is the infinitesimal transformation defined by A on P [2].

In this section, we assume that the action Φ is affine with respect to a torsionless connection ∇^P on P, and show how to get a symplectic connection ∇ on T^*P with respect to which the action Φ^* is affine. This sets the scene for possible applications of Theorem 1.1.

Let $\mathcal{V}$ be the *vertical distribution* tangent to the fibers of $M := T^*P$, and $\mathcal{H}$ be the horizontal distribution of the connection ∇^P on M. The following formula defines a connection $\nabla^{\mathcal{H}}$ on the vector bundle $\mathcal{H}$:

$$\nabla^{\mathcal{H}}_X Y = \begin{cases} pr_{\mathcal{H}}[X,Y] & \text{if} \quad X \in \Gamma\mathcal{V},\ Y \in \Gamma\mathcal{H}, \\ \widetilde{\nabla^P_{\pi_* X}\pi_* Y} & \text{if} \quad X \in \Gamma_{pr}\mathcal{H},\ Y \in \Gamma_{pr}\mathcal{H}, \end{cases} \tag{2.3}$$

where tilde denotes the operation of taking the horizontal lift, pr stands for *projectable*, and projectability is with respect to π. If Y of the second line of (2.3) is of the general form $\sum_i \varphi_i Y_i$, where $\varphi_i \in C^\infty(M)$, $Y_i \in \Gamma_{pr}\mathcal{H}$, $\nabla^{\mathcal{H}}_X Y$ is to be derived from (2.3) by means of the general properties of a covariant derivative. Notice also that the first line of (2.3) is equivalent to $\nabla^{\mathcal{H}}_X Y = 0$ for all $X \in \Gamma\mathcal{V}$ and for all $Y \in \Gamma_{pr}\mathcal{H}$. It is easy to understand that (2.3) indeed provides a connection on $\mathcal{H}$; in fact, it is a Bott connection with respect to the foliation by the fibers of T^*P [3].

The connection $\nabla^{\mathcal{H}}$ transposes to a connection $\nabla^{\mathcal{H}^*}$ on the dual bundle $\mathcal{H}^*$ of $\mathcal{H}$. On the other hand, the *musical morphism* $\sharp_\omega := \flat_\omega^{-1}$ is an isomorphism between $\mathcal{H}^*$ and $\mathcal{V}$ (e.g., [6]). Hence, $\nabla^{\mathcal{V}} := \sharp_\omega \circ \nabla^{\mathcal{H}^*} \circ \flat_\omega$ is a well defined connection on the vector bundle $\mathcal{V}$.

Accordingly, we obtain a natural lift $\nabla^M := \nabla^{\mathcal{H}} \oplus \nabla^{\mathcal{V}}$ of the connection ∇^P to a connection on T^*P. This connection satisfies the condition $\nabla^M \omega = 0$. Indeed, let (x^i) be local coordinates on P such that

$$\nabla^P_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} = \Gamma^k_{ji} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} \qquad (\Gamma^k_{ji} = \Gamma^k_{ij}), \tag{2.4}$$

and let (y_i) be the corresponding covector coordinates. Then, (x^i, y_i) are local coordinates on M, and [6]

$$\mathcal{V} = span\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}\right\},\ \mathcal{H} = span\left\{X_i := \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + \Gamma^s_{ik} y_s \frac{\partial}{\partial y_k}\right\}, \tag{2.5}$$

$$\mathcal{V}^* = span\{\theta_i := dy_i - \Gamma^s_{ik} y_s dx^k\},\ \mathcal{H}^* = span\{dx^i\}, \tag{2.6}$$

$$\omega = dx^i \wedge dy_i = dx^i \wedge \theta_i. \tag{2.7}$$

In all these formulas the Einstein summation convention is used.

Accordingly, we get the following local equations of ∇^M

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^M_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}} X_j = 0, \quad \nabla^M_{X_i} X_j &= \quad \Gamma^k_{ji} X_k, \\ \nabla^M_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} = 0, \quad \nabla^M_{X_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} &= -\Gamma^j_{ik} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_k}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

and $\nabla^M \omega = 0$ follows by straightforward computations.

Furthermore, if we come back to the ∇^P-affine action Φ, its affine character implies the invariance of the horizontal distribution $\mathcal{H}$ by Φ^*. Then, if we use $Y \in \Gamma_{pr}\mathcal{H}$ on the first line of (2.3) it is easy to check that the connection ∇^M satisfies condition (1.3). Therefore, M is endowed with the ω-compatible connection ∇^M and the affine Hamiltonian action Φ^*.

This is not yet the required situation since ∇^M may have torsion [6]. To fix this, let

$$^t\nabla^M_X Y := \nabla^M_Y X + [X, Y]$$

be the *transposed connection*, and

$$\overset{\circ}{\nabla} := \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_X Y + {}^t\nabla_X Y)$$

be the connection on M known as the *symmetric part* of the connection ∇^M. Then $\overset{\circ}{\nabla}$ is a torsionless connection which satisfies the condition (1.3), hence, it is preserved by the action Φ^* but, it is no more symplectic.

However, there exists a well known transformation of such a connection which yields a symplectic one. Namely (e.g., [5]):

$$\nabla_X Y = \overset{\circ}{\nabla}_X Y + A(X, Y), \tag{2.9}$$

where $A(X, Y)$ is defined by the relation

$$\omega(A(X, Y), Z) = \frac{1}{2}(\overset{\circ}{\nabla}_X \omega)(Y, Z) \tag{2.10}$$

$$+\frac{1}{6}\{(\overset{\circ}{\nabla}_Y \omega)(X, Z) + (\overset{\circ}{\nabla}_Z \omega)(X, Y)\}.$$

Since both $\overset{\circ}{\nabla}$ and ω are invariant by the action Φ^* of G, the connection ∇ of (2.9) satisfies condition (1.3). Therefore, the action Φ^* is affine with respect to the connection ∇, and we are done.

Now, as a corollary of the above construction and of Theorem 1.1, we have

2.1 Proposition. *Let P be an arbitrary manifold with a linear connection ∇^P, and an affine action Φ of a Lie group G. Then, the cotangent bundle T^*P with the canonical symplectic structure ω has a well defined symplectic connection ∇ (the lift of ∇^P) which is preserved by the lift Φ^* of Φ. Furthermore, if there exists $\xi \in \mathcal{G}^*$ which is non critical for the natural moment map J of Φ^* (given by (2.2)), and such that $J^{-1}(\xi)$ is ∇-self-parallel, the connection ∇ reduces to a symplectic connection of the reduced manifold $J^{-1}(\xi)/G_\xi$ (if the latter exists).*

We end this section by a simple example which illustrates both Proposition 2.1 and Theorem 1.1. Take $P = \mathbf{R}^n$ with the natural flat torsionless connection ∇. Then, any affine subgroup on $\mathbf{R}^n$ is affine with respect to ∇, and we will use the group G given by

$$\tilde{x}^a = sx^a + t^a,\ \tilde{x}^u = x^u, \tag{2.11}$$

where $a = 1, \ldots, h \leq n$, $u = h+1, \ldots n$, (x^a, x^u) are natural coordinates in $\mathbf{R}^n$, and $s, t^a \in \mathbf{R}$, $s \neq 0$.

Then, $T^*P = \mathbf{R}^{2n}$ with coordinates (x^i, y_i) $(i = 1, ..., n)$, and with the canonical symplectic form (2.7). Furthermore, in (2.4) $\Gamma^k_{ji} = 0$, and it follows from (2.8) that the lifted connection ∇ is exactly the natural flat torsionless connection of $\mathbf{R}^{2n}$. The action defined by (2.11) on covector coordinates (y_i) is given by

$$\tilde{y}_a = \frac{1}{s} y_a,\ \tilde{y}_u = y_u. \tag{2.12}$$

Thus, the resulting action on $\mathbf{R}^{2n}$ is affine with respect to the flat connection ∇.

An infinitesimal action of the Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$ of G is obtained from (2.11) by taking $s = 1 + \epsilon\sigma$, $t^a = \epsilon\tau^a$, where (σ, τ^a) is a vector in $\mathcal{G}$, then, taking the derivative with respect to ϵ at $\epsilon = 0$.

Now, (2.2) shows that the moment map J has the expression

$$J(x,y) = (\sum_{a=1}^{h} x^a y_a, y_1, ..., y_h) \in \mathcal{G}^*, \tag{2.13}$$

and, for instance, it follows that $\xi = (0, 1, ..., 1) \in \mathcal{G}^*$ is a non critical value of J. The corresponding level set $J^{-1}(\xi)$ has the equations

$$y_1 = 1, ..., y_h = 1, \sum_{a=1}^{h} x^a = 0, \tag{2.14}$$

and it is a plane of dimension $2n - h - 1$ in $\mathbf{R}^{2n}$. Therefore, $J^{-1}(\xi)$ is self-parallel with respect to the flat connection ∇. From (2.12), (2.13), we see that the isotropy subgroup G_ξ consists of the transformations (2.11) where $s = 1$ i.e., translations of the coordinates (x^a) such that $\sum_{a=1}^{h} x^a = 0$ (notation of (2.11)). Accordingly, the orbits of G_ξ are the $(h-1)$-dimensional planes through the points of $J^{-1}(\xi)$, where the coordinates in the planes are (x^a) with $\sum_{a=1}^{h} x^a = 0$. Therefore, we have a quotient manifold

$$J^{-1}(\xi)/\mathcal{G}_\xi = \mathbf{R}^{2(n-h)} = \{(x^u, y_u)_{u=h+1}^{n})\}. \tag{2.15}$$

The restriction of the form ω to $J^{-1}(\xi)$ is $\omega' = \sum_{u=h+1}^{n} dx^u \wedge dy_u$, and this also is the expression of the reduced symplectic form induced by ω. We see that we are in a situation where Proposition 2.1 and Theorem 1.1 apply, and the reduced symplectic connection is again the natural flat torsionless connection of $\mathbf{R}^{2(n-h)}$.

3 Presymplectic Manifolds

From the geometrical point of view, the basic configuration where symplectic reduction is encountered is that of a presymplectic manifold i.e., a $(2n+p)$-dimensional differentiable manifold M endowed with a closed 2-form ω of constant rank $2n$. Then, the p-dimensional distribution

$$I := span\{X \in \Gamma TM \; / \; i(X)\omega = 0\}$$

is integrable, and yields a foliation $\mathcal{I}$, $T\mathcal{I} = I$, called the *characteristic foliation*. Furthermore, if the space of leaves $Q = M/\mathcal{I}$ is a Hausdorff manifold,

Q is endowed with the *reduced symplectic form* ω' which is the projection of ω, and (Q, ω') is the *reduced symplectic manifold* of (M, ω) [2].

Let us define a *presymplectic connection* on a presymplectic manifold (M, ω) as being a linear connection ∇ on M which is ω-compatible i.e., $\nabla\omega = 0$, and its torsion T_∇ takes values in the vector bundle I. Then, let us assume that (M, ω) is endowed with a presymplectic connection ∇. We want to find conditions which ensure the existence of a corresponding induced symplectic connection on the reduced manifold (Q, ω'). We begin with

3.1 Proposition. *Let V be a differentiable manifold endowed with a foliation $\mathcal{F}$ and with a linear connection ∇ such that: i) $\mathcal{F}$ is parallel with respect to ∇ (i.e., $\nabla(T\mathcal{F}) \subseteq T\mathcal{F}$), ii) the torsion T_∇ of ∇ takes values in $T\mathcal{F}$, iii) the curvature R_∇ of the connection ∇ satisfies the condition*

$$R_\nabla(Z, X)Y \in \Gamma T\mathcal{F}, \quad \forall Z \in \Gamma T\mathcal{F}, \forall X, Y \in \Gamma TV. \tag{3.1}$$

Then ∇ induces an $\mathcal{F}$-projectable connection on the normal bundle $\nu\mathcal{F}$ of the foliation $\mathcal{F}$.

Proof. By definition, $\nu\mathcal{F} = TV/T\mathcal{F}$, and a cross section $\sigma \in \Gamma\nu\mathcal{F}$ is an equivalence class $\sigma = [Y]_{\mathcal{F}}$ where $Y \in \Gamma TV$, and Y_1, Y_2 yield the same class σ iff $Y_1 - Y_2 \in \Gamma T\mathcal{F}$. Accordingly, the result of the formula

$$\nabla'_X\sigma := [\nabla_X Y]_{\mathcal{F}} \qquad (X \in \Gamma TV) \tag{3.2}$$

is independent of the choice of Y (hypothesis i)), and ∇' is a well defined connection on $\nu\mathcal{F}$.

We want to show that ∇' is $\mathcal{F}$-projectable i.e., it projects to connections of the local *slice spaces* of $\mathcal{F}$. The conditions for this are: a) if σ is a projectable cross section and $X \in \Gamma T\mathcal{F}$ then $\nabla'_X\sigma = 0$, b) if σ is a projectable cross section of $\nu\mathcal{F}$ and X is a projectable vector field then $\nabla'_X\sigma$ is a projectable cross section of $\nu\mathcal{F}$ [3]. We recall that the projectability of σ means that the vector fields which represent σ are projectable, which, in turn, means that their flow preserves the foliation $\mathcal{F}$.

Condition a) easily follows from i) and ii).

For b), notice that $\forall Z \in \Gamma T\mathcal{F}, \forall X, Y \in \Gamma_{pr}TM$ one has

$$\nabla_Z\nabla_X Y = \nabla_X\nabla_Z Y + \nabla_{[Z,X]}Y + R_\nabla(Z, X)Y \in \Gamma T\mathcal{F},$$

because of a) and of hypothesis iii). (In (3.1), we didn't have to ask $X, Y \in \Gamma_{pr}TM$ since, R_∇ being a tensor, (3.1) is a pointwise condition.) Accordingly, and using i) and ii) again, we get

$$[Z, \nabla_X Y] = \nabla_Z \nabla_X Y - \nabla_{\nabla_X Y} Z - T_\nabla(Z, \nabla_X Y) \in \Gamma T\mathcal{F},$$

therefore, $\nabla_X Y \in \Gamma_{pr}TM$. Q.e.d.

Using Proposition 3.1 we get

3.2 Proposition. *Let (M, ω) be a presymplectic manifold with the characteristic foliation $\mathcal{I}$, and let ∇ be a presymplectic connection on M. Assume that the curvature of ∇ satisfies the condition*

$$i(R_\nabla(Z, X)Y))\omega = 0, \quad \forall Z \in \Gamma T\mathcal{I}, \forall X, Y \in \Gamma TM. \tag{3.3}$$

Then, if the reduced symplectic manifold $(Q = M/\mathcal{I}, \omega')$ exists, it has a symplectic connection ∇' induced by ∇.

Proof. It is easy to see that $\nabla\omega = 0$ implies hypothesis i) of Proposition 3.1 for the foliation $\mathcal{I}$ on M. Hypothesis ii) holds because of the definition of a presymplectic connection, and iii) follows from (3.3). Therefore, there exists an induced projectable connection ∇' on $\nu\mathcal{I}$, which, obviously, projects to a connection on Q that we also denote by ∇'. From the definition of ∇' as given in the proof of Proposition 3.1, and since T_∇ takes values in I, it follows that, on Q, ∇' has no torsion and that $\nabla\omega = 0$ implies $\nabla'\omega' = 0$. Q.e.d.

We remember that hypothesis iii) of Proposition 3.1 is what ensures the projectability of the "$\mathcal{I}$-normal part" of ∇. We want to notice that this property may also be expressed without the use of the normal bundle of $\mathcal{I}$. Namely, if V is a manifold with a foliation $\mathcal{F}$, we will say that a linear connection ∇ on V is *$\mathcal{F}$-adapted* if $\forall X \in \Gamma T\mathcal{F}$ and $\forall Y \in \Gamma_{pr}TV$, $\nabla_X Y \in \Gamma T\mathcal{F}$. Then if, moreover, $\forall X, Y \in \Gamma_{pr}TV$ one has $\nabla_X Y \in \Gamma_{pr}TV$, we will say that the connection ∇ is *$\mathcal{F}$-projectable.* These definitions are correct since they are compatible with multiplication of a vector field in $\Gamma\mathcal{F}$ by an arbitrary function, and with multiplication of $X, Y \in \Gamma_{pr}TV$ by a projectable function. It is easy to see that any foliated manifold has adapted connections, and, if the foliation has a transversal projectable connection, the manifold has a projectable connection.

On a presymplectic manifold (M, ω), any presymplectic connection ∇ is adapted to the characteristic foliation $\mathcal{I}$. Indeed, $\mathcal{I}$ is ∇-parallel, and the condition which was imposed on T_∇ yields

$$\nabla_X Y = \nabla_Y X + [X, Y] + T_\nabla(X, Y) \in \Gamma T\mathcal{I}, \tag{3.4}$$

$\forall X \in \Gamma T\mathcal{I}$, $\forall Y \in \Gamma_{pr} TM$. Using this remark, it follows

3.3 Proposition. *If the reduced symplectic manifold* $(Q = M/\mathcal{I}, \omega')$ *of the presymplectic manifold* (M, ω) *exists, any* $\mathcal{I}$*-projectable presymplectic connection* ∇ *on* M *induces a symplectic connection* ∇' *on* Q.

Proof. Any vector fields $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} \in \Gamma TQ$ are projections of vector fields $X, Y \in \Gamma_{pr} TM$, and if we put $\nabla'_{\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{Y} = pr(\nabla_X Y)$ we are done. Q.e.d.

Proposition 3.3 raises the question of existence of projectable presymplectic connections on a given presymplectic manifold (M, ω).

First, we use the known technique of the almost symplectic case e.g., [5] to get all the connections which satisfy $\nabla\omega = 0$. Let us fix a transversal distribution S of the characteristic distribution I $(TM = S \oplus I)$, a connection D^I on the vector bundle I, and a connection $\overset{\circ}{D}{}^S$ on the vector bundle S. Then, change the connection $\overset{\circ}{D}{}^S$ to the Bott connection [3]

$$D^S_{(X'+X'')} Y' = pr_S[X'', Y'] + \overset{\circ}{D}{}^S_{X'}\, Y', \tag{3.5}$$

where $X', Y' \in \Gamma S$, $X'' \in \Gamma I$, and define the connection

$$D = D^S \oplus D^I \tag{3.6}$$

on TM. Furthermore, let us define $\Theta \in \Gamma End(TM \wedge TM, TM)$ by

$$\begin{gathered} \Theta(X'', Y'') = 0,\ \Theta(X', Y'') = 0,\ \Theta(X', Y') \in \Gamma S, \\ \omega(\Theta(X', Y'), Z') = \tfrac{1}{2}(D_{X'}\omega)(Y', Z'), \end{gathered} \tag{3.7}$$

$\forall X', Y' \in \Gamma S$, $\forall X'', Y'' \in \Gamma I$. (The result is well defined because ω is non degenerate on S.)

Using Θ, we get a new connection on M namely,

$$\overset{\circ}{\nabla} = D + \Theta, \tag{3.8}$$

and the evaluation of $(\overset{\circ}{\nabla}\ \omega)(X, Y)$ for $X, Y \in \Gamma_{pr} TM$ yields $\overset{\circ}{\nabla}\ \omega = 0$. Hence, we obtained one ω-compatible connection. Necessarily, all the others are given by

$$\nabla = \overset{\circ}{\nabla} + A, \tag{3.9}$$

where $A \in \Gamma End(TM \wedge TM, TM)$ satisfies

$$\omega(A(X,Y),Z) + \omega(Y, A(X,Z)) = 0. \tag{3.10}$$

The torsion of the connection D given by (3.6) is

$$T_D(X' + X'', Y' + Y'') = \overset{\circ}{D}{}^S{}_{X'}\, Y' - \overset{\circ}{D}{}^S{}_{Y'}\, X' \tag{3.11}$$

$$-pr_S[X', Y'] + \text{ term in } \Gamma I$$

(notation of (3.5)). Hence, if we take $\overset{\circ}{D} = pr_S \circ K$, where K is a torsionless covariant derivative on M, the associated connection D will have an I-valued torsion, and it will follow

$$d\omega(X,Y,Z) = \sum_{cycl.(X,Y,Z)} (D_X \omega)(Y,Z) = 0. \tag{3.12}$$

Furthermore, the torsion of the connection (3.9) is

$$T_\nabla(X,Y) = T_D(X,Y) + \Theta(X,Y) - \Theta(Y,X) + A(X,Y) - A(Y,X), \tag{3.13}$$

where $X, Y \in \Gamma TM$, $T_D(X,Y) \in \Gamma I$, and from (3.7), (3.12) we obtain

$$\omega(T_\nabla(X',Y'),Z') = \omega(A(X',Y'),Z') - \omega(A(Y',X'),Z') \tag{3.14}$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}(D_{Z'}\omega)(X',Y'),$$

where $X', Y', Z' \in \Gamma TS$. Then, if we ask $A(X'', Y) = 0$ for $X'' \in \Gamma I$, $Y \in \Gamma TM$, and $A(X', Y') \in \Gamma S$, and such that [5]

$$\omega(A(X',Y'),Z') = \frac{1}{6}\{(D_{Y'}\omega)(X',Z') + (D_{Z'}\omega)(X',Y')\}, \tag{3.15}$$

we obtain a connection ∇ which satisfies the condition

$$\omega(T_\nabla(X',Y'),Z') = 0,$$

therefore, ∇ has an I-valued torsion.

With a closer look at this latter connection we see that, in fact, the following result holds

3.4 Proposition. *Every presymplectic manifold* (M, ω) *has presymplectic connections. Moreover, the manifold has a projectable presymplectic connection with respect to the characteristic foliation* $\mathcal{I}$, *iff the normal bundle of* $\mathcal{I}$ *has a projectable connection.*

Proof. The first part was already proven above. For the second part, if the normal bundle $\nu\mathcal{I}$ has a projectable connection, we may use it as $\overset{\circ}{D}{}^S$ of (3.5), and we see that the connection ∇ defined by the use of (3.15) is projectable. Conversely, if ∇ is a projectable presymplectic connection on (M, ω), and if we put

$$D_X[Y]_{\mathcal{I}} = [\nabla_X Y]_{\mathcal{I}} \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma TM$$

we get a projectable connection on $\nu\mathcal{I}$. Q.e.d.

We recall that a foliation has a projectable connection on its normal bundle iff the *Atiyah class* of the foliation vanishes [3].

References

[1] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu, Foundations of Differential Geometry I. Intersc. Publ., New York, 1963.

[2] P. Libermann and Ch.-M. Marle, Symplectic Geometry and Analytical Mechanics. D. Reidel Publ. Co., Dordrecht, 1987.

[3] P. Molino, Riemannian Foliations. Progress in Math. Series, 73, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1988.

[4] I. Vaisman, Contribution à la Géométrie Différentielle Projective-Symplectique. Monogr. Anal. Şt Univ. Iaşi (Romania), 1966.

[5] I. Vaisman, Symplectic Curvature Tensors. Mh. Math., 100 (1985), 299-327.

[6] I. Vaisman, Symplectic Geometry and Secondary Characteristic Classes. Progress in Math. 72, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1987.

Department of Mathematics,
University of Haifa, Israel.
E-mail: vaisman@math.haifa.ac.il